

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

TRANSCRIPT MINUTES

MEETING OF THE
STATE OF NEVADA
BOARD FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE
SUBSEQUENT INJURY ACCOUNT FOR
ASSOCIATIONS OF SELF-INSURED PUBLIC OR PRIVATE EMPLOYERS

Thursday, January 23, 2020
10:00 a.m.

3360 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 250
Las Vegas, Nevada, 89102
in the Executive Video Conference Room

A P P E A R A N C E S

For the Board:

Bryan Wachter (absent)
Board Chairman, Member

Rebecca Fountain
Board Vice-Chairman, Member

Allen Walker (Phone)
Board Member

Joyce Smith (Phone)
Board Member

Donald Bordelove, Esq.
Deputy Attorney General
Board Counsel

For the Division of Industrial Relations:

Christopher A. Eccles, Esq.
Counsel for DIR

For the Administrator of the DIR:

Vanessa Skrinjaric
Compliance Audit Investigator
Division of Industrial Relations
Workers' Compensation Section

Also Present:

Larae Polson (phone)
Associated Risk Management

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I N D E X

ITEM	PAGE
1. Roll Call	4
2. Public Comment	5
3. Approval of Posting of the Agenda For Possible Action	5
4. Approval of Agenda For Possible Action	6
5. Approval of Minutes for July 18, 2019, September 19, 2019, and November 21, 2019 For Possible Action	6
6. Action on a Recommendation of the Administrator of the Division of Industrial Relations for Approval of the following request(s) for reimbursement from the Subsequent Injury Account for Associations of Self-Insured Public or Private Employers	8
a. 5012-1487-2017-0623 Robert Morken Construction For Possible Action	9
7. Additional Items:	
a. General Matters of Concern to Board Members Regarding Matters Not Appearing on the Agenda	19
b. Old and New Business	19
c. Schedule of Next Meeting. The following dates have been scheduled in advance but are subject to change at any time: February 20, 2020; March 19, 2020; April 16, 2020; May 21, 2020; June 18, 2020; July 16, 2020; August 20, 2020; September 17, 2020; October 22, 2020; November 19, 2020; and December 17, 2020. For Possible Action	20
8. Public Comment	25
9. Adjournment, For Possible Action	25

1 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, THURSDAY, JANUARY 23, 2020,

2 10:00 A.M.

3 -oOo-

4 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Okay. So, I guess,
5 we're not waiting on anyone else?

6 MS. SKRINJARIC: No, I don't think so. I don't
7 think Bryan's going to come now. It's about 10:12.

8 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Okay. Then, I guess,
9 we will call the meeting to order.

10 We'll do roll call. And I assume that starts
11 with me. I'm Rebecca Fountain.

12 MR. ECCLES: Christopher Eccles.

13 MS. SKRINJARIC: Vanessa Skrinjaric for the
14 Division of Industrial Relations.

15 MR. BORDELOVE: Donald Bordelove, Board
16 Counsel.

17 BOARD MEMBER WALKER: Allen Walker.

18 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Phone.

19 MS. SKRINJARIC: Can we do that again, please?

20 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: This is Joyce Smith
21 appearing via phone.

22 BOARD MEMBER WALKER: Allen Walker via phone.

23 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Anyone else?

24 MS. POLSON: Larae Polson, Associated Risk
25 Management.

1 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Is that all that's on
2 the phone right now, three people?

3 And yourself?

4 MS. SKRINJARIC: Vanessa Skrinjaric.

5 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Okay. You said your
6 name there. Okay.

7 MS. SKRINJARIC: Yeah, I did. Yes.

8 All right. Thank you, everybody.

9 We'll go to item 2, public comment.

10 Opportunity for the public comment, reserved for any
11 matter listed below on the agenda as well as any matter
12 within the jurisdiction of the Board. No action on such
13 an item may be taken by the Board unless and until the
14 matter has been noticed as an action item. Comment from
15 the public is limited to three minutes per person.

16 Does anyone have any public comment?

17 Okay. I'll take that as a no.

18 Item 3. This is approval of posting of the
19 agenda. For possible action.

20 Is there a motion?

21 BOARD MEMBER WALKER: I motion to approve the
22 agenda.

23 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: I will second that.

24 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: All in favor, say
25 "aye."

1 (Board members said "aye.")
2 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Okay. Motion passed.
3 Item number 4, approval of agenda. For
4 possible action.
5 BOARD MEMBER WALKER: Motion to approve.
6 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: I'll second that.
7 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: All in favor?
8 (Board members said "aye.")
9 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Thank you.
10 And item number 5, approval of minutes for
11 July 18th, 2019, September 19th, 2019, and
12 November 21st, 2019.
13 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: I was not there for
14 November, so I cannot vote on that one.
15 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Okay. Is that noted?
16 MS. SKRINJARIC: So, I think, we should take
17 them one at a time.
18 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: One at a time. Okay.
19 MS. SKRINJARIC: So, because there were various
20 people absent at each of the minutes.
21 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: That's true.
22 MR. BORDELOVE: This is Board counsel. There's
23 no requirement that you can't vote on it if you weren't
24 present. So as long as we have a quorum and a majority
25 voting on it, it's fine. So you can still vote on the

1 minutes even though you weren't present.

2 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Okay.

3 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: How does that work?

4 MR. BORDELOVE: There's no requirement in the
5 Open Meeting Law 241 that requires you to be present for
6 the minutes. The Board can take any action as long as
7 there's a majority present.

8 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Okay.

9 MS. SKRINJARIC: Oh.

10 MR. BORDELOVE: Yeah, I was reading past
11 minutes, and I saw that you hadn't been doing that
12 before. I thought it was a little odd, so I checked
13 with our public records department. And the chief of
14 the public records informed me that there was no such
15 requirement. So you can go ahead and make that vote.

16 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Okay.

17 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: So I just say, yes, I
18 accept them, even though I can't verify that that's what
19 happened?

20 MR. BORDELOVE: Right. So you're basically
21 accepting them but not verifying their accuracy, that's
22 correct. And you could take them all three together.

23 MS. SKRINJARIC: Oh.

24 MR. BORDELOVE: So you can just do a motion to
25 approve all three minutes.

1 MS. SKRINJARIC: Oh.

2 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Okay. So, then, do I
3 have a motion to approve July, September, November
4 minutes?

5 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Oh, motion to approve.

6 BOARD MEMBER WALKER: Second it.

7 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: All in favor?

8 (Board members said "aye.")

9 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Thank you. And we just
10 learned something new, team. That's the agenda.

11 MS. SKRINJARIC: Yeah, we were holding the
12 minutes because we were informed we -- whoever was
13 present had to vote. If they weren't present, they
14 couldn't vote. So.

15 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Okay. Okay. Moving
16 on, item 6. This is where I read it?

17 MS. SKRINJARIC: Yes.

18 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: And then turn it over
19 to you, correct?

20 MS. SKRINJARIC: Yes.

21 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Okay. So item 6.
22 Action on a recommendation of the Administrator of the
23 Division of Industrial Relations for approval of the
24 following requests for reimbursement from the Subsequent
25 Injury Account for Associations of Self-Insured Public

1 or Private Employers.

2 Item 5012-1487-2017-0623, Robert Morken
3 Construction. For possible action.

4 I'll turn it over to you.

5 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. It is the
6 Administrator's recommendation to accept this request
7 pursuant to NRS 616B.578 for the lumbar spine only.

8 The total amount requested for reimbursement is
9 \$54,166.25. The amount of reimbursement, after costs
10 were verified, is \$49,671.24. An explanation of the
11 disallowance is attached to this recommendation memo.

12 This request was received from Associated Risk
13 Management on October 9th, 2019.

14 Prior history. This employee was hired by the
15 employer as a carpenter on September 5th, 2012. This
16 employer constructs houses in both California and Nevada
17 and employs workers in both states. On February 14,
18 2014, while framing a house in California, the employee
19 fell off the second story and suffered a non-displaced
20 right-sided sacral fracture and non-displaced right
21 anterior wall fracture of his acetabulum, pelvic
22 fracture. He was transported from the job site via
23 ambulance to the Tahoe Forest Hospital emergency room.
24 He was admitted for pain control and hemodynamic
25 monitoring.

1 The prior history and subsequent injury history
2 will be taken from Dr. Pirruccello's PPD evaluation
3 penned on April 12th, 2019 for the subsequent injury,
4 except as otherwise noted.

5 Two weeks later, the employee was seen by
6 Dr. Dodd with right-sided neck pain and right shoulder
7 pain. His pelvis was stable. He was referred for
8 physical therapy.

9 By May, 2014, the pelvis was healing well.
10 However, an MRI of the right shoulder was requested.
11 The MRI revealed a posterior inferior labral tear. In
12 June 2014, the employee continued to have low back pain.

13 In August 2014, lumbar MRI showed disc
14 desiccation with a shallow focal central disc protrusion
15 without central canal stenosis or impingement upon
16 intraspinal or exiting nerve roots throughout the lumbar
17 spine to suggest radiculopathy. The employee was
18 referred to a pain doctor, Dr. Specht.

19 In January 2015, Dr. Dodd noted the employee
20 had a lumbar epidural and had a little bit of
21 improvement. He continued with physical therapy. The
22 shoulder continued to be an issue.

23 By August 2014, Dr. Dodd felt the employee had
24 reached maximum medical improvement for his back. In
25 October 2015, the employee proceeded with right shoulder

1 arthroscopy debridement of torn labrum and arthroscopic
2 subacromial decompression.

3 In December 2015, the employee saw Dr. Dodd
4 after coming to the emergency room over the weekend with
5 a, quote, new injury. Dr. Dodd stated he did not suffer
6 a new injury but rather an exacerbation of his previous
7 back problems.

8 In April 2016, Dr. Dodd reported that the
9 employee had a Qualified Medical Evaluation, QME,
10 performed and tests were ordered.

11 In April 2016, a CT scan of the lumbar spine
12 revealed minimal wedge deformity of L1.

13 On May 18, 2016, a Supplemental Agreed Medical
14 Evaluation was conducted by Dr. Pattinson in California.
15 This was performed using the AMA Guides, 5th Edition.
16 The rating found: DRE Lumbar Category II 5 percent plus
17 3 percent additional for ADLs, for a total of 8 percent;
18 DRE Cervical Category I 0 percent; right shoulder 4
19 percent and pain 3 percent, for a total of 15 percent
20 whole person impairment. He also gave permanent work
21 restrictions.

22 Present claim. On April 17, 2017, the employee
23 was climbing on a ladder when he lost his balance and
24 fell on his head and back. While this accident occurred
25 while he was working on a house in California, the

1 employee elected to proceed with Nevada workers'
2 compensation coverage. Sorry. That should be the
3 employer. He was seen at Tahoe Forest Hospital and
4 diagnosed with multiple contusions to the head and low
5 back, acute cervical strain and acute traumatic lumbar
6 back pain.

7 On May 9, 2017, the employee started seeing
8 Porsche Adams, PA-C, and began physical therapy.

9 On July 20th, 2017, an MRI of the lumbar spine
10 revealed a left posterior paracentral disc bulge
11 abutting the left S1 nerve root as it leaves the thecal
12 sac.

13 On September 27th, 2017, the employee saw
14 Dr. Miles for trigger point injections. Further trigger
15 point injections were recommended. However, it appears
16 that the doctor then went on medical leave until January
17 2018.

18 In January 2018, the employee saw Dr. Berman,
19 who performed epidural steroid injections into the
20 lumbar spine. Two weeks later, the employee had
21 increased pain.

22 In February 2018, Dr. Berman's office performed
23 trigger point injections. The employee continued with
24 physical therapy.

25 Throughout February through August 2018, the

1 employee continued with physical therapy and trigger
2 point injections every month with Dr. Berman. Physical
3 therapy was discontinued on August 9th, 2018.

4 On August 29th, 2018, an MRI revealed a left
5 paracentral disc protrusion with annular tear at L5-S1,
6 slightly decreased in size since the last examination.
7 There is no central canal stenosis or neural foraminal
8 narrowing.

9 In September 2018, Dr. Berman referred the
10 employee to Dr. Song for a surgical consultation.
11 Dr. Song felt the employee was not surgical and the
12 employee had reached maximum medical improvement. He
13 recommended an FCE.

14 On December 4th, 2018, an FCE was performed
15 which placed the employee into a light-medium category.
16 His preinjury job of carpenter is a heavy category. The
17 employer was unable to offer him a permanent job within
18 his restrictions.

19 On February 15, 2019, Dr. Berman released the
20 employee as MMI, stable and ratable.

21 On April 10th, 2019, Dr. Pirruccello performed
22 a PPD evaluation and determined that the employee fell
23 into DRE Category I for the cervical spine resulting in
24 a 0 percent whole person impairment. He also found the
25 employee fell into DRE Category II for the lumbar spine

1 resulting in 5 percent whole person impairment plus an
2 additional 2 percent for ADLs, for a total of 7 percent
3 whole person impairment. As the employee had a prior
4 8 percent whole person impairment awarded in 2016 for
5 the lumbar spine, this resulted in a net 0 percent
6 impairment.

7 The employee saw a vocational rehabilitation
8 counselor and eventually took a vocational
9 rehabilitation lump sum buyout.

10 Findings. On July 14, 2019, Dr. Betz penned a
11 letter for subsequent injury review. He opined that,
12 quote, "as a direct result of the patient's preexisting
13 pathologies at L5-S1 and significant symptoms at the end
14 of his prior claim he required significant additional
15 evaluation and treatment over a two-year period for
16 persistent/recurrent low back pain following the
17 subsequent injury. Consequently, it is reasonable and
18 appropriate to conclude that 90 percent of the costs of
19 the subsequent claim were the direct result of the
20 combined effects of prior pathologies and the subsequent
21 injury," end quote. The Administrator agrees with this
22 analysis.

23 Therefore, NRS 616B.578, subsection 1, has been
24 satisfied.

25 On May 18, 2016, a Supplemental Agreed Medical

1 Evaluation was conducted by Dr. Pattinson in California.
2 This was performed using the AMA Guides, 5th Edition.
3 The rating found that the employee fell into DRE Lumbar
4 Category II and awarded him 8 percent whole person
5 impairment for the lumbar spine. While the employee
6 also had a cervical injury, he fell into DRE Cervical
7 Category I and was awarded a 0 percent impairment.
8 Therefore, the only body part eligible for subsequent
9 injury reimbursement is the lumbar spine.

10 Therefore, NRS 616B.578, subsection 3, has been
11 satisfied.

12 On February 14, 2014, the employee fell 10 feet
13 from the second story of the house on which he was
14 working. The Doctor's First Report of Occupational
15 Injury or Illness, California Form 5021, appears to have
16 been sent directly to the employer. In reviewing the
17 legal requirements in California, Form 5021 is required
18 to be sent to the insurer or the employer. As the
19 insurer is not listed on the form, it is safe to assume
20 that this form was in the possession of the employer.
21 Additionally, this form has an April 25th, 2019
22 date-stamp from Associated Risk Management. This would
23 tend to indicate that it was received by ARMI from the
24 employer after a request for subsequent injury purposes.
25 This form shows that the employee suffered a right hip

1 fracture, sacryl fracture. A sacryl fracture qualifies
2 for a DRE Category II lumbar impairment of 5 to
3 8 percent. In fact, this employee received an 8 percent
4 impairment.

5 Additionally, the employer provided a Cal/OSHA
6 Form 301 Injury and Illness Incident Report. It was
7 date-stamped by ARMI on April 25th, 2019. This form is
8 signed by the employer: Robert Morken. It states that
9 the employee suffered a fractured pelvis and was taken
10 to Tahoe Forest Hospital. North Lake Tahoe Fire
11 Protection District v. Board of Administration does not
12 require the employer's perfect knowledge of a 6 percent
13 permanent impairment. It requires that an employee's
14 preexisting permanent physical impairment be fairly and
15 reasonably inferred from the written record and the
16 impairment must amount to at least 6 percent whole
17 person impairment. That is the case here.

18 Based on the two documents presented, it is
19 reasonable to conclude that the employer was aware the
20 employee suffered a serious fall and he was transported
21 to the hospital, where he stayed overnight. The
22 documents also show the employer was aware the employee
23 suffered a fractured pelvis and a fractured sacrum in
24 the fall from which he was ultimately awarded 8 percent
25 whole person impairment.

1 Therefore, NRS 616B.578, subsection 4, has been
2 satisfied.

3 Subsection 5 does not need to be satisfied in
4 order for this claim to be considered for reimbursement
5 since the date of injury is after the October 1, 2007
6 change in the requirements of the statute.

7 And that's all I have.

8 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Thank you, Vanessa.

9 Does anyone have any comments?

10 Do you have any questions for Vanessa?

11 Okay.

12 BOARD MEMBER WALKER: No.

13 MR. BORDELOVE: Any disclosures before they
14 vote?

15 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Are there any
16 disclosures to be made before we vote?

17 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: That I have to recuse,
18 because Builders Association of Western Nevada is my
19 association.

20 BOARD MEMBER WALKER: I think, we're all in the
21 same boat as far as that Pro Group and Associated Risk
22 Management are my managers. So I don't think we have a
23 conflict there.

24 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Right.

25 BOARD MEMBER WALKER: We've talked about that

1 before.

2 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Correct. I agree.

3 Is that correct with you?

4 MR. BORDELOVE: Yes, that's correct.

5 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Okay. Okay. So, if
6 there's no comments, do we want to take a motion for a
7 vote?

8 BOARD MEMBER WALKER: I motion to vote.

9 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Do we approve?

10 BOARD MEMBER WALKER: I think, you've got to
11 second.

12 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Oh, I guess, I do have
13 to second it.

14 BOARD MEMBER WALKER: Yeah, you have to second
15 it, Rebecca, I think.

16 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: All right. I second
17 the motion. All in favor? Aye.

18 BOARD MEMBER WALKER: Aye.

19 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Thank you.

20 Now, Risk Management, did they want to stay on,
21 or do --

22 MS. SKRINJARIC: Larae, are you going to stay
23 on for the remainder of the meeting?

24 MS. POLSON: No.

25 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay.

1 MS. POLSON: That's okay. We'll go ahead and
2 disconnect.

3 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Thank you.

4 MS. POLSON: All right. Thank you.

5 BOARD MEMBER WALKER: Thank you.

6 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Okay. Moving on to
7 item 7, which is additional items, general matters of
8 concern to Board members regarding matters not appearing
9 on the agenda.

10 Does anyone have anything that they want to
11 discuss?

12 BOARD MATTER SMITH: I don't.

13 BOARD MEMBER WALKER: No, I'm good.

14 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Okay. We'll move on to
15 item b., old and new business.

16 Is there anything that anyone, any old and new
17 business, besides our introduction to Don?

18 MR. BORDELOVE: I can give myself, I can give
19 an introduction. Donald Bordelove, Deputy Attorney
20 General.

21 Just a brief background, I grew up in
22 Las Vegas, and then I went to law school at UCLA,
23 practiced for a number of years out in California, most
24 recently, before coming back to Nevada, as a complex
25 litigator for The Hartford Insurance Group in downtown

1 Los Angeles doing catastrophic injury and wrongful death
2 sort of work. Moved back to Vegas. Once me and my wife
3 started having kids, thought it would be a little
4 easier.

5 And as a Deputy Attorney General, my primary
6 client is the Employee Management Relations Board. So
7 I'm their counsel. I draft all their decisions and so
8 forth. I prosecute cases before the Nevada Real Estate
9 Division. And then I also handle other matters as the
10 office needs, bad faith, litigation, personal injury
11 cases that might come up, or what have you.

12 Nice to be a part of the Board. As always, if
13 any of you ever have any questions or need to contact
14 me, please feel free. I can give you my cell whenever
15 you need, and I'm always available.

16 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Thank you, and welcome.

17 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Thank you.

18 MR. BORDELOVE: Thank you.

19 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Okay. So do you two
20 have your calendars for to take a look at some potential
21 changes in our schedule, meeting schedule, Allen and
22 Joyce?

23 BOARD MEMBER WALKER: Yeah, go ahead.

24 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: I think, I'm looking
25 pretty good so far.

1 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. So, if you recall,
2 Bryan had talked about perhaps moving to every other
3 month.

4 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Yes.

5 MS. SKRINJARIC: As you can see, we only had
6 one matter on the agenda today. I have one matter
7 currently pending in my office right now.

8 So if we wanted to discuss, first of all, not
9 meeting next month, is that good for everyone to not
10 meet next month?

11 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: It's good for me. What
12 about you?

13 BOARD MEMBER WALKER: That would be perfect.

14 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay.

15 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Yeah, that would be good.

16 BOARD MEMBER WALKER: You said February.

17 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay.

18 BOARD MEMBER WALKER: Yeah, I'm good.

19 MS. SKRINJARIC: All right. So let's go ahead
20 and strike February.

21 Then, currently, we did not appear to have a
22 conflict with March. And I'm just going to verify that
23 when I was looking at it, the immediate conflicts appear
24 to be with the EMRB. Is that correct, Donald?

25 MR. BORDELOVE: Correct.

1 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay.

2 MR. BORDELOVE: I don't have any conflicts in
3 March.

4 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. So. So, then, then we
5 would skip April, if everyone was good with that.

6 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Hold on. Let me go
7 back to March 19, making sure I'm on there. Okay.

8 MS. SKRINJARIC: Because that was a conflict
9 for you, Donald.

10 MR. BORDELOVE: Correct.

11 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. So, then, we were good
12 with May. We would skip June. So then we had a
13 conflict in July.

14 MR. BORDELOVE: Right. I have an EMRB on the
15 date for this meeting.

16 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay.

17 MR. BORDELOVE: Now, I could probably make the
18 June date work, if you'd rather switch those out.

19 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay.

20 MR. BORDELOVE: I think, I can get somebody to
21 cover that commission meeting for me.

22 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. Okay. So why don't we
23 do that. We'll skip July and keep June. Is everyone
24 okay with doing a May, June, skip July?

25 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: I'm good with it. Are

1 you, Allen and Joyce?

2 BOARD MEMBER WALKER: Yeah, I'm good with it.

3 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Yes.

4 BOARD MEMBER WALKER: And, I think, we see
5 where the caseloads are anyway.

6 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Yes.

7 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. So the issue was he
8 cannot do the July one. So let me just backtrack. So
9 right now, we are crossing off February. And we are
10 crossing off April. We are crossing off July. Thought
11 we would meet August. Then we would cross off
12 September. We'll cross off September.

13 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: So we're keeping
14 August?

15 MS. SKRINJARIC: Yes.

16 MR. BORDELOVE: Should we keep the rest of the
17 dates just for now, maybe cross them off later, just in
18 case the workload picks up?

19 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. So I'm going to cross
20 off September. Then, we have some potential conflicts
21 of November and December for you. That's what my issues
22 are.

23 MR. BORDELOVE: Right. Do you want to just
24 keep them right now?

25 MS. SKRINJARIC: Leave them for right now?

1 MR. BORDELOVE: And then we'll discuss it at
2 the next meeting?

3 MS. SKRINJARIC: Sure, we can do that.

4 MR. BORDELOVE: Just since it's -- you know,
5 maybe a month away right now, just.

6 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: So keep September?

7 MR. BORDELOVE: No, let's cross off September
8 still, but let's keep November and December, just in
9 case we need them later on. And I'll figure out some
10 conflicts there.

11 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay.

12 MR. BORDELOVE: But we can always cross them
13 off at a later meeting. But since they're not till the
14 end of 2020, we might as well discuss them at a later
15 time.

16 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. So leaving this
17 meeting, we've crossed off February, April, July, and
18 September.

19 MR. BORDELOVE: Correct.

20 MS. SKRINJARIC: Potentially, for right now.

21 MR. BORDELOVE: Correct.

22 MS. SKRINJARIC: That's where we're at right
23 now? Okay. Perfect.

24 BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: So we're keeping
25 October, November and December?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

MR. BORDELOVE: Correct.

MS. SKRINJARIC: So we have meetings on March 19th, May 21, June 18th, August 20th, and then October, November, December currently.

MR. BORDELOVE: Correct.

MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. Okay. Perfect.

MR. BORDELOVE: Thank you.

MS. SKRINJARIC: All righty.

BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Okay. Are you, Joyce and Allen, are you guys good with that?

BOARD MEMBER SMITH: So far, yes.

BOARD MEMBER WALKER: Yep.

BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Okay.

MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay.

BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Item 8, public comment. Is there any public comment to be made?

Okay. Hearing none, we'll move for adjournment. Is there a motion to adjourn?

BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Oh, motion to adjourn.

BOARD MEMBER WALKER: Motion seconded.

BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: All in favor?

(Board members said "aye.")

MS. SKRINJARIC: See you in March.

BOARD MEMBER FOUNTAIN: Thanks, you guys.

-oOo-