

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

TRANSCRIPT MINUTES

MEETING OF THE
STATE OF NEVADA
BOARD FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE
SUBSEQUENT INJURY ACCOUNT FOR
ASSOCIATIONS OF SELF-INSURED PUBLIC OR PRIVATE EMPLOYERS

Thursday, March 19, 2020
10:00 a.m.

3360 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 250
Las Vegas, Nevada, 89102
in the Executive Video Conference Room

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

A P P E A R A N C E S

For the Board:

Bryan Wachter
Board Chairman, Member

Rebecca Fountain (absent)
Board Vice-Chairman, Member

Allen Walker (Phone)
Board Member

Joyce Smith (Phone)
Board Member

Donald Bordelove, Esq.
Deputy Attorney General
Board Counsel

For the Division of Industrial Relations:

Christopher A. Eccles, Esq.
Counsel for DIR

For the Administrator of the DIR:

Vanessa Skrinjaric
Compliance Audit Investigator
Division of Industrial Relations
Workers' Compensation Section

Also Present:

Richard S. Staub, Esq. (phone)
Carson City, Nevada

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

I N D E X

ITEM	PAGE
1. Roll Call	5
2. Public Comment	6
3. Approval of Agenda For Possible Action	7
4. Approval of Minutes for January 23, 2020 For Possible Action	8
5. Action on a Recommendation of the Administrator of the Division of Industrial Relations for Approval of the following request(s) for reimbursement from the Subsequent Injury Account for Associations of Self-Insured Public or Private Employers	
a. 5015-0125-2018-0022 Monroe Land and Livestock For Possible Action	9
6. Action on a Recommendation of the Administrator of the Division of Industrial Relations for Approval of the following supplemental request(s) for reimbursement from the Subsequent Injury Account for Associations of Self-Insured Public or Private Employers	
a. 5001-0447-2004-0042 Bonanza Beverage Co. For Possible Action	19
b. 5001-0114-2003-0006 Truck Parts & Equipment For Possible Action	21
c. INGO 01767	

1	ETT, Inc.-Terrible's Searchlight	
2	For Possible Action	21
3		
4	7. Additional Items:	
5	a. General Matters of Concern to Board Members	
6	Regarding Matters Not Appearing on the	
7	Agenda	23
8	b. Old and New Business	23
9	c. Schedule of Next Meeting. The following	
10	dates have been scheduled in advance but are	
11	subject to change at any time: May 21, 2020;	
12	June 18, 2020; August 20, 2020; October 22,	
13	2020; November 19, 2020; and December 17,	
14	2020	
15	For Possible Action	24
16	8. Public Comment	26
17	9. Adjournment	
18	For Possible Action	26
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, THURSDAY, MARCH 19, 2020,
10:00 A.M.

-oOo-

BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Okay. We are going to go ahead and call the Board to order at 10:05.

Vanessa will take care of agenda item number 1, roll call.

MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. Present in person in Las Vegas, this is Vanessa Skrinjaric for the Division of Industrial Relations.

And Brian Wachter?

BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Here.

MS. SKRINJARIC: Allen Walker?

BOARD MEMBER WALKER: Here.

MS. SKRINJARIC: Christopher Eccles?

MR. ECCLES: Present.

MS. SKRINJARIC: Donald Bordelove?

MR. BORDELOVE: Here.

MS. SKRINJARIC: Joyce Smith?

BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Here.

1 MS. SKRINJARIC: Richard Staub?

2 MR. STAUB: Present.

3 MS. SKRINJARIC: And did I just hear Rebecca
4 Fountain log on?

5 Okay. Looks like she is absent.

6 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Okay. Did we hear
7 anybody else that Vanessa did not call?

8 Okay. Excellent. We're going to go into
9 agenda item number 2 for public comment.

10 Donald, can you just put something on the
11 record for me that what you put in the email about how
12 we are in compliance with the open meeting law --

13 MR. BORDELOVE: Sure.

14 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: -- given the Governor's
15 emergency declaration?

16 MR. BORDELOVE: Sure, yeah.

17 Vanessa, can you just give a brief overview of
18 what you've done at the office, then, please?

19 MS. SKRINJARIC: Sure. So the office doors are
20 actually locked. Posted on the door is a notice that
21 says, if you are here for the meeting for this Board,
22 you are to call a phone number, which calls into this
23 office. If anyone does show up for that meeting, and
24 they call in, they would be actually allowed in and put

1 into the conference room, which would then call into the
2 phone number that we are in. And then I'm in a
3 different room from that.

4 So that does allow for social distancing and
5 allow the public to come into this office and call into
6 this phone number, as well as I would be calling into
7 this phone number.

8 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Perfect.

9 MR. BORDELOVE: Okay. Thank you.

10 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Thank you very much for
11 all that. And I appreciate everything that you had to
12 do, Vanessa, to go through to make this meeting possible
13 as well as compliant. So I really do appreciate that.

14 Any public comment at this time?

15 MS. SKRINJARIC: Again, just to further go on
16 with that, no public has shown up, and no public has
17 called into that phone number.

18 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Perfect. Thank you,
19 Vanessa.

20 Any changes to the agenda?

21 MS. SKRINJARIC: No.

22 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Okay. With that, we'll
23 accept a motion to approve the agenda.

24 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: I'll motion to approve.

1 Joyce Smith.

2 BOARD MEMBER WALKER: I'll second it.

3 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: I've got a motion to
4 approve by Joyce, a second by Allen. Any discussion?

5 All those in favor, say "aye."

6 (Board members said "aye.")

7 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Any opposed?

8 The motion carries.

9 The approval of the minutes, is this why I had
10 a transcript of this?

11 MS. SKRINJARIC: That is correct.

12 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Okay.

13 MS. SKRINJARIC: I don't recall if you were
14 present. But currently, with the transition to new
15 Board counsel, what we are doing is I am tape-recording
16 these, the Board meetings. And then what I do is I send
17 the tape-recording to actually a certified court
18 reporter, who does an actual transcription. Then those
19 are being sent to you. So they're actually fully
20 transcribed minutes from now on.

21 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Don, Donald, does that
22 give me the ability to vote on a motion to approve, or
23 do we need to table that until we have a quorum?

24 MR. BORDELOVE: No, you're allowed to go ahead

1 and make that motion.

2 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Okay. Perfect. So at
3 this time, is there any comments or questions on the
4 minutes?

5 Okay. Hearing none, is there a motion to
6 approve the minutes?

7 BOARD MEMBER WALKER: Motion to approve the
8 minutes.

9 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Is there a second?

10 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Joyce Smith. I second.

11 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: It's been moved by Allen
12 to approve the minutes for January 23rd, 2020. It's
13 been seconded by Joyce. Any comments?

14 All those in favor, say "aye."

15 (Board members said "aye.")

16 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Any opposed?

17 The motion passes.

18 Action on a recommendation of the Administrator
19 from the Division of Industrial Relations for approval
20 of the following requests for reimbursement from the
21 Subsequent Injury Account for Associations of
22 Self-Insured Public or Private Employers.

23 This is claim number 5015-0125-2018-0022. And
24 I'll turn that over to Vanessa.

1 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. First of all, do we
2 have any disclosures?

3 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Which account is this
4 with? I'm going through my papers here.

5 MS. SKRINJARIC: Sure. This is Nevada
6 Agricultural Network. The employer is Monroe Land and
7 Livestock. This is ProGroup Management and Associated
8 Risk Management.

9 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Both of those represent
10 mine, but I am not in that organization, so no conflict.

11 BOARD MEMBER WALKER: Same for me.

12 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: No, I don't think anyone
13 has a conflict to that. Because I've retailed their
14 builders. So we're good to go.

15 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. So, Joyce and Allen,
16 you guys both use ARMI?

17 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Yes.

18 BOARD MEMBER WALKER: Yes. And ProGroup, yes.

19 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. Okay. With that being
20 said, it is the Administrator's recommendation to accept
21 this request pursuant to NRS 616B.578 for the left wrist
22 only.

23 The total amount requested for reimbursement is
24 \$14,018.48. The amount that should have been requested

1 based on the bills submitted is \$14,822.25. The amount
2 of reimbursement, after costs were verified, is
3 \$9,793.92. An explanation of the disallowance is
4 attached to this recommendation memo.

5 This request was received from Associated Risk
6 Management on October 16th, 2019.

7 This employee was hired by the employer as a
8 farm worker on February 1st, 2017. He was involved in a
9 fatal nonindustrial motor vehicle accident on
10 September 1, 2018. Therefore, the prior history and
11 subsequent injury history will be taken from Dr. Richard
12 Cestkowski's posthumous PPD evaluation penned on
13 September 27, 2018 for the subsequent injury, except as
14 otherwise noted.

15 On November 9th, 2017, the employee was placing
16 pallets on the forks of a forklift. He tripped over the
17 forks and fell on his left side. He suffered a left
18 distal radius/ulna styloid fracture and left
19 intertrochanteric hip fracture. He was admitted to
20 Humboldt General Hospital. Dr. Davis performed surgery
21 the next day. He underwent an open reduction and
22 internal fixation, ORIF, on the left distal radius and
23 an ORIF of the left two-part intertrochanteric hip
24 fracture. Thereafter, he was transferred to a skilled

1 nursing facility. He was discharged home on December 6,
2 2017.

3 He attended physical therapy and orthopedic
4 follow-up. On April 5th, 2018, Dr. Davis returned him
5 to work as a light-duty ranch hand. He was to return
6 for follow-up on June 7, 2018.

7 On May 14, 2018, while working light duty, the
8 employee fell and twisted to catch himself.

9 He went to Humboldt General Hospital on May 15,
10 2018. X-rays were taken of his left wrist, left hip and
11 left knee. He was diagnosed with a wrist sprain and hip
12 contusion. He underwent five visits of physical
13 therapy.

14 The employee saw Dr. Davis on June 7, 2018. He
15 did not mention a recent injury. He felt the employee
16 could go back to work full duty without restrictions.

17 He saw Dr. Dolan for a second opinion on
18 July 16, 2018. The employee reported that his left leg
19 was shorter than the right and his left wrist was stiff
20 and painful. Dr. Dolan indicated the patient had an
21 antalgic gait on the left.

22 On August 13, 2018, Dr. Mull noted marked
23 restriction of dorsiflexion and volar of the left wrist.
24 The hip had good range of motion. The leg lengths were

1 equal. There was nothing more that could be done to
2 help the patient's situation.

3 On September 1, 2018, the employee was killed
4 in a non-work-related motor vehicle accident.

5 On September 27, 2018, Dr. Cestkowski penned a
6 posthumous PPD in which he assigned the following PPD
7 rating: 11-9-17 prior injury, left hip 4 percent whole
8 person impairment, left wrist 10 percent whole person
9 impairment; 5-14-18 subsequent injury, left hip an
10 additional 2 percent whole person impairment, left
11 wrist, additional 0 percent whole person impairment.

12 Total impairment for both injuries was 15
13 percent whole person impairment.

14 The entire 15 percent whole person impairment
15 was paid out of the subsequent injury claim with no
16 apportionment paid to the original claim. Katherine
17 Godwin, B.S.N., R.N., Medical Unit Manager for the
18 Division of Industrial Relations, states the following:

19 Quote, "It should be noted that Dr. Cestkowski
20 notes in his report that the insurer/TPA directed him to
21 combine the total whole person impairment ratings of two
22 separate injuries/claims, totaling 15 percent whole
23 person impairment. This is not consistent with the
24 current methods of PPD impairment calculations. The

1 file clearly documents two separate work-related events
2 and each claim was deemed compensable independent of
3 each other. Likewise, for purposes of assigning
4 impairment ratings, impairment for each injury is
5 calculated separately and the total impairment ratings
6 should not be combined, even when identical body parts
7 are involved in multiple claims." End quote.

8 Dr. Cestkowski stated, quote, "Although the
9 patient did not have much treatment pertaining to the
10 injury of 5-14-18, it is my opinion that his left wrist
11 and left hip injuries from 11-9-17 substantially
12 increased the cost of the claim related to the 5-14-18.
13 Therefore, it is my opinion that both the requirements
14 for the SIA have been met as it relates to the injuries
15 of 11-9-17 and 5-14-18." End quote.

16 Katherine Godwin stated, quote, "A second
17 opinion is not ordinarily sought for an uncomplicated
18 wrist sprain. In this case, there was no additional
19 impairment for the left wrist stemming from the
20 subsequent injury. The medical costs for the subsequent
21 injury are within the normal range of what is expected
22 for a wrist sprain including a PPD 0 percent whole
23 person impairment rating. None of these substantially
24 increase the claim costs of the subsequent injury.

1 However, the file contains a statement from the injured
2 employee that his treating physician returned him to
3 light-duty work. When the employee spoke with his
4 employer, the injured employee was told that the
5 third-party administrator had instructed the employer
6 not to provide light-duty work for the injured employee.
7 Consequently, the injured employee received
8 approximately \$7,000 of temporary total disability
9 benefits. This additional TTD paid substantially
10 increased the cost of the subsequent jury claim over
11 that anticipated for the subsequent injury. Therefore,
12 the requirements for reimbursement by the Subsequent
13 Injury Fund for the left wrist are met." End quote.

14 Therefore, NRS 616B.578, subsection 1, has been
15 satisfied.

16 The prior injury of November 9th, 2017 was to
17 two body parts: the left hip and the left wrist. Due
18 to the intervening subsequent injury of May 14, 2018,
19 these body parts were not rated under the prior claim.
20 However, Dr. Cestkowski believed he could assign a
21 rating to these body parts based on the medical records
22 available for his review. He assigned a rating of
23 4 percent whole person impairment for the left hip and
24 10 percent whole person impairment for the left wrist.

1 This Board has consistently held that body
2 parts cannot be combined or stacked to achieve a rating
3 of 6 percent whole person impairment to meet this
4 subsection. See SIA Board Decision in Lander County
5 School District, December 19, 2003. Therefore, the only
6 body part that qualifies for subsequent injury
7 reimbursement is the left wrist as it alone meets and
8 exceeds the 6 percent whole person impairment
9 requirement. The left hip, at 4 percent whole person
10 impairment, does not meet this requirement.

11 Therefore, NRS 616B.578, subsection 3, has been
12 satisfied for the left wrist only.

13 On November 9th, 2017, the employee tripped
14 over the forks on a forklift and fell onto his left
15 side. He broke his left wrist and his hip. The
16 documents which the employer submitted as written
17 records which the Administrator feels are pertinent
18 include:

19 One. C-4 Form faxed from Monroe and received
20 by Associated Risk Management on November 9th, 2017.
21 Monroe is the employer. It appears the employer has not
22 corrected the date on his fax machine as the date is
23 June 7, 2014, 3:33 p.m.

24 Number two. C-3 Form faxed from Monroe. While

1 this document is not signed nor dated by the employer,
2 it is received by Associated Risk Management on
3 November 9, 2017. It also appears to be in the same
4 handwriting as two other documents signed by the
5 employer, discussed below. It appears to be part of the
6 facts in item 1 and 3. This document indicates a
7 diagnosis of broken hip.

8 Number three. Employer's Wage Verification
9 Form. This document is signed by the employer on
10 November 9, 2017 and appears to be part of the fax with
11 documents 1 and 2.

12 Number four. C-3 Form for the subsequent
13 injury of May 14, 2018. In document is signed by the
14 employer and will not be considered as evidence of
15 employer knowledge as it occurred after the subsequent
16 injury. However, it is used for comparison of
17 handwriting and signatures to items 1 to 3.

18 North Lake Tahoe Fire Protection District vs.
19 Board of Administration does not require the employer's
20 perfect knowledge of a 6 percent permanent impairment.
21 It requires that an employee's preexisting permanent
22 physical impairment be fairly and reasonably inferred
23 from the written record of the employer and the
24 impairment must amount to at least 6 percent whole

1 person impairment. That is the case here.

2 The only body part eligible for reimbursement
3 is the left wrist. The employer was aware that the
4 employee suffered a distal radius/ulna styloid fracture
5 when he received the C-4 Form on November 9, 2017 and
6 forwarded same to Associated Risk Management along with
7 his completed C-3 Form and Employer's Wage Form. This
8 fracture ultimately rated at 10 percent whole person
9 impairment.

10 Based on the three documents presented, it is
11 reasonable to conclude that the employer was aware the
12 employee suffered a serious fall wherein he fractured
13 his left wrist from which he was ultimately awarded
14 10 percent whole person impairment.

15 Therefore, NRS 616B.578, subsection 4, has been
16 satisfied.

17 Subsection 5 does not need to be satisfied in
18 order for this claim to be considered for reimbursement
19 since the date of injury is after the October 1, 2007
20 change in the requirements of the statute.

21 Therefore, NRS 616B.578, subsection 5, has been
22 satisfied.

23 That's all on this claim.

24 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Oh, sorry. I was on

1 mute that whole time. Sorry. I'll do that again.

2 Thank you, Vanessa.

3 Donald, anything the Board should be aware
4 about?

5 MR. BORDELOVE: No.

6 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Mr. Staub, are you
7 there?

8 MR. STAUB: Yes, I am, and we agree with the
9 recommendation.

10 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: I imagined you might.
11 Do you also agree with the part where Dr. Cestkowski
12 noted that the TPA attempted to combine both of them?

13 MR. STAUB: Yes.

14 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Okay. And you also
15 noted where, you know, the fact that the left hip isn't
16 going to be accepted?

17 MR. STAUB: Yes, we're aware of that, and we do
18 not object.

19 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Okay. Well, it was just
20 important to read that.

21 Is there anybody on the Board that has any
22 questions?

23 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: I do not.

24 BOARD MEMBER WALKER: No.

1 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Okay. With that, if
2 there's a member of the Board that would like to make a
3 motion in regards to claim number 5015-0125-2018-0022.

4 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: I motion to accept.

5 BOARD MEMBER WILSON: I motion to --

6 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Okay. I can go with
7 Joyce. There's been a motion to approve the
8 reimbursement of \$9,793.92 by Joyce. Is there a second
9 by Allen?

10 BOARD MEMBER WALKER: Yes, I second it. I'm
11 sorry.

12 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: There is a second. Is
13 there any discussion by the Board?

14 Okay. All those in favor, say "aye."

15 (Board members said "aye.")

16 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Any opposed?

17 The motion passes. Cool.

18 Okay. Now we now we will move down to 6.a., an
19 action on the recommendation of the Administrator of the
20 Division of Industrial Relations for approval of the
21 following supplemental requests for reimbursement from
22 the Subsequent Injury Account for Associations of
23 Self-Insured Public or Private Employers.

24 Case number 5001-0447-2004-0042.

1 Vanessa.

2 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. I believe that Allen is
3 going to -- I think, Allen, you're going to have some
4 disclosures on this one?

5 BOARD MEMBER WALKER: Yes. Nevada
6 Transportation is my association. So I have to recuse
7 myself.

8 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. And, Joyce, you'll have
9 the same disclosures regarding ARMI?

10 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Correct.

11 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Well, for that matter, I
12 have the same disclosures for ProGroup and ARMI.

13 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay.

14 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Are we having to do that
15 every time? I thought we had a standing disclosure for
16 all the Board members.

17 MS. SKRINJARIC: Right, but Allen has to recuse
18 himself completely because of Transportation.

19 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Oh, okay. Okay. I
20 apologize. Okay. Perfect.

21 MS. SKRINJARIC: No problem.

22 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: So, in that case, we
23 don't have a quorum for this, then, Donald; is that
24 correct?

1 MR. BORDELOVE: Correct.

2 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Okay. So we are going
3 to be tabling 6.a.

4 6.b., Allen, do you have the same?

5 BOARD MEMBER WALKER: Same thing, Nevada
6 Transportation.

7 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Okay. Well, then we're
8 going to be tabling 6.b.

9 And then we will go to 6.c., which I don't
10 think any of us have any disclosures on.

11 So, Vanessa, we'll go down to case number
12 INGO 01767. I felt like I should have sang that.

13 Okay. Vanessa, it's you.

14 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. So if you all recall,
15 this is a case that has been continued from last year.
16 This --

17 Hello?

18 BOARD MEMBER WALKER: Yeah, go ahead.

19 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. So this was a case that
20 was continued last year because this association is what
21 we call decertified. So they're no longer an
22 association.

23 That being said, I don't know if you want me to
24 read this into the record again or if Mr. Bordelove has

1 some comments at this time.

2 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Let's go ahead and ask
3 him.

4 MS. SKRINJARIC: Pardon?

5 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: I said let's ask him.

6 MR. BORDELOVE: It's Board discretion. I can
7 give you comments at this time. You can have her read
8 it into the record, whatever your preference is.

9 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: We can skip reading it
10 into the record. And why don't you just move straight
11 on to what we need to know.

12 MR. BORDELOVE: Okay. So the issue of
13 decertification was brought to my attention yesterday at
14 the other Board meeting. Previously, after reviewing
15 some minutes, it looked like your prior Board counsel
16 was going to research the issue and get back to you. So
17 now I'd basically like to do the same.

18 So my recommendation would be to continue this
19 matter until I've had time to research the
20 decertification issue.

21 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Granted. Cool.

22 So we will table 6.c. as well, Vanessa.

23 * * * * *

24 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. Back on. I have a new

1 tape running. This has been just been one of those
2 weeks.

3 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: No problem. It's what I
4 like to know.

5 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. Go ahead. Sorry about
6 that, guys.

7 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Yes, for the tape
8 recorder's sake, we've just tabled 6.c. to the next
9 meeting, pending further briefing from Board counsel.
10 And we've moved to 7.a., general matters of
11 concern to Board members.

12 I do not have any. Joyce, do you have any?

13 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: I do not.

14 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Allen?

15 BOARD MEMBER WALKER: No.

16 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Perfect. 7.b., old and
17 new business. Vanessa, anything on your end?

18 MS. SKRINJARIC: No, the only thing that you
19 guys have to know is that after eight years, the
20 regulations were finally passed. Yeah.

21 So one of the biggest things was the issue of
22 stacking, which I actually had to reference an old
23 decision, which was in the first case we did. That's
24 actually a regulation now. So that was great, because

1 now I don't have to reference prior decisions.

2 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Perfect.

3 MS. SKRINJARIC: So that's wonderful. So,
4 finally.

5 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Excellent. Well, that's
6 good news.

7 Chris, anything on your end?

8 MR. ECCLES: No, sir.

9 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Okay. Donald, how about
10 anything from you?

11 MR. BORDELOVE: Not a thing.

12 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Perfect.

13 Scheduling the next meeting. We now have a lot
14 to do. Hopefully, the world has not ended by May 21st.
15 So we'll keep that date tentative. And then the rest of
16 it we'll just play it by ear as we go along.

17 MS. SKRINJARIC: Okay. So --

18 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Any questions about May?

19 MS. SKRINJARIC: Yes. I am scheduled not to be
20 here that week.

21 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Okay.

22 MS. SKRINJARIC: I had a vacation planned. So
23 I was actually going to, prior to all this stuff
24 happening this last two weeks, I was going to bring up

1 the fact that we needed to reschedule that date.

2 I have one --

3 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Okay. No problem.

4 MS. SKRINJARIC: I have one application that
5 came in two days ago. That's the only thing I have
6 pending in front of this Board.

7 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Let me ask you this.
8 The three things that we kicked over to the next agenda,
9 are we in danger on any of the timelines or anything if
10 we move those to June?

11 MS. SKRINJARIC: Well, let me look at the dates
12 that came in. Hang on.

13 They came in on February. No, they could be
14 kicked to June.

15 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Donald, any problem with
16 that on your end?

17 MR. BORDELOVE: No, nothing on my end.

18 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Chris?

19 MR. ECCLES: No problem. We can always ask the
20 applicant to agree to, you know, extend the date to June
21 anyway.

22 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Okay. Allen and Joyce,
23 any problems if we just get rid of May and we hang onto
24 June?

1 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: None whatsoever.

2 BOARD MEMBER WALKER: I'm good for June.

3 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Okay. Then that is what
4 we'll do. We're going to cancel the May 21st meeting.
5 And we will plan on a packed meeting for June 18th.

6 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Okay.

7 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Any public comments?

8 MS. SKRINJARIC: No, no public that we know of.

9 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: There being none, I'll
10 entertain a motion to adjourn.

11 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: I motion to adjourn.

12 BOARD MEMBER WALKER: I second it.

13 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: I got a motion. Do I
14 have a second? Oh, I got a motion by Joyce, a second by
15 Allen to adjourn. All those in favor, say "aye."

16 (Board members said "aye.")

17 BOARD MEMBER WACHTER: Any opposed?

18 The motion passes. Thank you all very, very
19 much.

20 MS. SKRINJARIC: Thank you guys.

21 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Bye-bye.

22 MS. SKRINJARIC: Bye.

23 MR. BORDELOVE: Thank you.

24 MR. ECCLES: Thank you.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

BOARD MEMBER WALKER: See you in June.

-oOo-