Law Offices of Charles R. Zeh, Esq. 50 West Liberty Street, Suite 950 Reno, Nevada 89501 Tel.: (775) 323-5700 FAX: (775) 786-8183

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

NEVADA OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW BOARD

* * * * *

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER OF THE OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION OF THE DIVISION OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY, STATE OF NEVADA,

Complainant,

VS.

WALMART INC., dba WALMART SUPERCENTER #1560,

Respondent.

Docket No. LV 23-XXXX

Inspection No. 1576433



ORDER GRANTING THE STATE'S MOTION TO DISMISS

The above captioned matter came on for hearing on the motion of the State of Nevada, complainant, to dismiss the contest in this case on the grounds that the respondent failed to give a timely notice of contest as required under NRS 618.475(1) which provides as follows:

If, after an inspection or investigation, the Division issues a citation under the provisions of this chapter, [NRS 618.475(1)] it shall ... notify the employer by certified mail of the penalty, if any, proposed to be assessed under this chapter and that the employer has 30 calendar days within which to notify the Division that the employer wishes to contest the citation or proposed assessment of penalty. If, within 30 calendar days from the receipt of the notice issued by the Division, the employer fails to notify the Division that the employer intends to contest the citation or proposed assessment of penalty ... the citation and assessment as proposed shall be deemed a final order of the review board and not subject to review by any court or agency ... NRS 618.478(1).

There is no dispute that the citation provided for above was delivered by mail to the Respondent on July 8, 2022. There is also no dispute that the Respondent failed to meet the 30

day deadline of NRS 618.475(1), when notifying the Division of the employer's intent to contest the citation or proposed assessment of penalty. According to the State, therefore, the citation and assessment as proposed must be imposed and be deemed a final Order, not subject to review by any court or agency, because, according to the Division, the time frame of NRS 618.475(1) is jurisdictional and not subject to any tolling.

Respondent opposes the motion to dismiss on the grounds that the deadline for giving notice under NRS 618.475(1) is not jurisdictional but is, therefore, subject to equitable tolling and excusable neglect according to Rule 4, NRCP. Respondent also argues in its opposition to the motion to dismiss that excusable or equitable tolling principles would mitigate against the 30 day deadline to permit a case to proceed if a finding of excusable neglect or equitable tolling is shown by the respondent.

Whether or not the 30 day time limit of NRS 618.475(1) is jurisdictional, the Board finds that respondent failed to make a showing of a excusable neglect or equitable tolling, which would justify the late filing of its notice of contest in this matter.

GOOD CAUSE, therefore, appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that the Division's motion to dismiss is hereby granted as there was no showing of excusable neglect or equitable tolling, to justify a late filing of the notice of contest in this matter. The citation and assessment as proposed are the Final Order of this matter. It is so ORDERED.

This Order may be served by e-mail.

Dated this 39 day of March, 2023.

NEVADA OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW BOARD

By: <u>/s/Rodd Weber</u>

Rodd Weber, Chairman

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I certify that I am an employee of the Law Offices of Charles R. Zeh, Esq., and that on this date I served the attached document, Order Granting the State's Motion to Dismiss, on those parties identified below e-mailing the same to the following e-mail addresses: Salli Ortiz, Esq. - sortiz@dir.nv.gov Ronald W. Taylor, Esq. - RWTaylor@venable.com day of March, 2024. The Law Offices of Charles R. Zeh, Esq. S:\Clients\OSHA\LV 23-XXXX Walmart Supercenter 1560\Order R3.vvpd